

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/076,003	02/14/2002	Paul F. Baude	57181US002	9203	
32692	7590 08/18/2005		EXAMINER		
3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY			TRINH, HOA B		
PO BOX 3342 ST. PAUL, N	27 IN 55133-3427		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
•			2814		
			DATE MAILED: 08/18/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u> </u>		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/076,003	BAUDE ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Vikki H. Trinh	2814	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
	ars on the cover sheet with the complete of the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The report of the final rejection. Isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the same SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE Figure of the same of the final rejection of the fee. Same of the final rejection of the fee. Same of the final rejection of the final	correspondence add R ALLOWANCE. If Appeal. To avoid abilifidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 of the final rejection, whichever the final rejection. IRST REPLY WAS FILE It) and the appropriate extension of the final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, make the final office action of the final Office	pandonment of ence, which CFR 41.31; or none of the er is later. In no D WITHIN TWO ension fee have on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) ay reduce any other of the appeal. (a). because g the issues for the incompany of the issues for the appeal. (a).
Claim(s) objected to:			
and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ails to provide a (1).
11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered by Applicants argue the combined references of Tang and repositionable aperture mask 112 (fig. 1), which defines S/D electrode and a gate electrode are defined by one of Tang's deficiencies by defining the S/D electrode and the incorporate product-by-process limitation in the claims, and the step of using the repositionable aperture mask electrodes would produce the same as stated in Sturm. 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	Sturm. As stated in the previous of the patterned layers. However, Tof the first and second electrodes, we gate electrode. Note that though the preamble of the present invento further define the first and second Thus, the examiner maintains the	Office Action, Tang di ang does not explicitly which use the mask. In applicants have atte tion's claims described and electrodes as S/D rejection.	icloses a ly teach that a Sturm cures empted to es a device claim

13. Other: _____.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 0805

HOWARD WEISS PRIMARY EXAMINED